

Identity

Magazine of the British National Party

February 2008 - Issue 87 - £2.40

*Alexander
Graham Bell*

*Charles
Babbage*

*James
Watt*

The Genius of Britain

Arthur Kemp on what Britain and the
British have given to the world

Willoughby Smith

This Month

Nick Griffin reports on the current
growth within the party

Civilisational Christianity -
standing up for our core values

Isaac Newton

News

UK To Pay Billions More To EU

On January 15th the House of Commons committed the British taxpayer to contribute more than £100 billion between now and 2013 to the EU. This monumental expense was passed by the European Communities Finance Bill after a debate which was limited to 208 minutes by the Government imposing a guillotine on the Bill.

By similar measures the Government, with approval from the Lib-Dems, continues to negotiate away much of our EU rebate obtained by Margaret Thatcher.

Meanwhile, a dozen or more MEPs could have their attendance allowance docked for up to 10 days as punishment for making noisy protests during the signing of the charter of fundamental rights on 12 December in Strasbourg. They, including some UKIP MEPs, unfurled banners emblazoned with the word "Referendum".

More Jobs Go in Industry

Britain's credit crunch, that has been triggered by the near collapse of the global capitalist system since the failure last year of banks and hedge funds over US housing mortgage defaults, is now accelerating unemployment in our shrinking manufacturing industry. Latest victim is world-leading engine manufacturer Rolls-Royce, who has announced that 2,300 jobs would go as part of a "cost reduction programme."

This follows on December's demise of the once prestigious British chemical company ICI, now reduced almost solely to the manufacture of Dulux paints and which has been sold to a Dutch company.

With the Government announcing that, at long last, new nuclear power stations are to be built, international engineering companies will be clambering for a slice of the business. Let us not forget that the same Government sold off Westinghouse, the nuclear engineers, to Toshiba, just a year ago.

Foreigners Living in More Than 2m UK Homes

The latest Home Office study reveals that foreigners live in more than 1.5 million



homes, including 310,000 council houses and flats. Another 570,000 homes have been bought direct by people born outside Britain.

The study says that hundreds of thousands of Eastern European workers have accepted council houses since the EU expanded. Experts expect that four out of every ten new homes will be snapped up by migrants in the future. Meanwhile, the only way that young indigenous British couples can compete is by both working to pay horrendous mortgages and refrain from having children.

It is children of immigrants and immigrant stock that are now about to make England the most crowded nation in Europe and the most crowded in the world outside of city states such as Singapore. There are now over 390 people for every square kilometre in England compared with 393 in the Netherlands. Population density is twice that of Germany and quadruple that of France.

Tory Mayor in Trouble Over Muslims Comment

The Tory town council mayor in Mirfield, Yorkshire, has sparked an outcry after saying Muslims "cause mayhem with explosives." Mayor Robert Bennett made the comments in an e-mail to the council clerk. Kirklees Tory group leader Councillor Robert Light and Councillor Keith Sibbald, Dewsbury Tory constituency chairman, both heavily criticised Mr Bennett.

His comments were made in response to a request by the Huddersfield-based Ahmadiyya Muslim Association to appear before the town council to ask for funding.

In his e-mail, Mr Bennett responded: "I am aware Islamic organisations are keen to promote a view that they are peaceful, forward thinking individuals who wish to integrate into the British way of life. The policy of clothing the feminine population of Dewsbury in black sack-like clothing from head to toe, the occasional trip out to

cause mayhem with explosives and the proposals that all those of homosexual persuasion should be killed by shooting or other means is adequate and practical testimony to the level of progress being made in this direction."

Bonus For Immigration Officials

Of the numerous fiascos recently announced over the handling of immigration, the latest is that thousands of foreign nationals who took jobs illegally as security guards may have been issued with national insurance numbers. It should be noted that the numbers are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions, formerly run by the egotistical Peter Hain, the would-be deputy Prime Minister.

It should also be noted that in November last staff at the Immigration and Nationality Directorate of the Home Office got nearly £7 million in bonuses last year. This Division was described as "not fit for purpose" by John Reid, former Home Secretary earlier last year.

Near Victory for BNP in Leicestershire

The BNP came within 62 votes of winning a local council by-election last month in Ibstock & Heather Ward for North West Leicestershire District Council. The BNP had not contested the ward before, but our candidate Ivan Hammonds came from nowhere to take second place.

Labour won with 699 votes. BNP 637. Conservative 515. Lib Dem 441.

As it was seen as a crucial marginal in the battleground between Labour and the Tories for the next General Election, the 27.8% of the poll for the BNP was an incredible result.

Identity

Editor: John Bean
Design: Mark Collett
February 2008

Public reference:
ISSN 1469-7971

Subscriptions Dept:
PO Box 158, Deeside,
CH5 2WW

Tel: 0871 050 0238
Subscriptions@bnp.org.uk

Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format:
PO Box 97, Newmarket,
Suffolk CB8 1WT.
Email: identity@bnp.org.uk



A Marxist Mess in Education



Schools throughout the land are virtually devoid of any discipline, although marginally less so in Scotland and rural England and Wales. This has led to an expansion of bullying, a violent knife culture, truancy and a rise in juvenile suicide.

Although our education budget has risen to £63 billion a year, Britain's schools are plummeting down every international league table. In spite of the money that New Labour has pumped into education over the last ten years, we have dropped from fourth to 14th in science, seventh to 17th in English (our own language!) and eighth to 24th in maths.

We will not follow the *Torygraph* and put the blame entirely on Labour for much of the problem lies not with one specific part of the Lib-Lab-Con party system, but with the teaching profession. Its thinking is Marxist dominated. This does not mean that teachers are necessarily card-carrying members of the miniscule Communist Party, the Socialist Workers Party, or even just followers of Manchester University's Professor Terry Eagleton, the life-long economic Marxist, in fact some of them even vote Tory!

The Marxist influence stems from a long-term indoctrination which can be traced back to the foundation of the Frankfurt School founded in 1929. Leading lights (of the red variety) were Gramsci and Marcuse, whose version of Marxism rejected its economic determinism and crude materialism and focussed on human subjectivity. The main idea was to keep projecting its own way of seeing the world so that it eventually became accepted as "common sense" and "natural". Marcuse and his followers concentrated their influence on the mass media (whose effects we can see so obviously today). It was Gramsci and his disciples who have injected the West's education system - and none more so than in Britain and the USA - with Marxist human subjectivity. This influence cannot be overcome if our administrators are not really aware of its existence. For that reason it is really only Nationalist thought, such as that of the BNP, that can achieve this.

The concept of grammar schools which allowed the more academically-minded children to reach their potential, irrespective of class or the ability to pay, was anathema to the Marxist egalitarian view. It became "common sense" to oppose grammar schools in favour of vast comprehensives where everyone was levelled down. It has even become the view of the Cameronite Tories who, unlike parents of most would-be grammar school children, have the alternative ability to pay for their children's private school education (not that the BNP is opposed to this in principle). Yet examination results at all levels are consistently far better from the grammar schools than from the comprehensives and

city 'colleges'.

Prior to World War Two girls and women still suffered from some restrictions on educational opportunities. Supported by most people, it became "natural" to ensure equality of education, and more importantly to the followers of Gramsci it presented an opportunity to continue the campaign of denying any differences between the sexes. Thus the vast majority of schools have become mixed making it even more difficult to exert discipline on boys in particular, who like to show off in front of the girls and who are noisier by instinct. Significantly, league tables published on January 10th showed that of the top ten schools whose pupils gained five good GCSEs, including English and maths, all were grammar schools (beating all private schools) and four were girls only schools and the tenth was a boys school.

Women have always been well represented in scientific careers (as I know from personal experience in industrial chemistry) but now the latest 'Gramscian' view is that we must no longer tolerate the fact that last year almost 8,000 male pupils took engineering, compared with only 350 girls. To offset this the Government is to outlaw "sexist" advice which directs boys into such careers as engineering.

Multiracialism was "Normal"

Since the first children of the *Empire Windrush* generation started school in the late fifties the originally Marxist concept of multiracialism was soon accepted as "normal". Schools in every town and city are now faced with trying to teach an ever growing number of children whose first language is not English and dealing with an escalation in violence, much of it racially motivated by Afro-Caribbean and Asian Muslim gangs. In London alone hundreds of extra foreign children are turning up at schools each week unable to speak any English but expecting an education courtesy of the British taxpayer. Forty per cent of primary age children in London now speak a language other than English at home. The Department for Children, Schools and Families is channelling in over £200 million a year of our money as an "Ethnic Minority Achievement Grant", but our teachers are still unable to cope.

In order to try and recoup some of this money the Government is to withdraw £100 million worth of funding that universities receive for students in England and Ireland taking 'Equivalent or Lower Qualifications' (ELQs). The Open University, much used by 'mature' students will be most heavily affected by the policy. Yet Labour's ridiculous plan is to keep *all* pupils at school or college until they are 18. Just imagine trying to discipline those who did not want to be there!

John Bean

» Times like we've never seen are on the way, says Nick Griffin. Look at the news headlines any day: The global economy is going through a multiple train smash; religious and ethnic tensions and strife spiral out of control all over the world; a top Shell Executive admits that Peak Oil is here now and that the era of cheap energy is gone forever; the old parties are mired in sleaze; the real cost of living is soaring, but wages are static for all except a few fatcat politicians and banksters.

Fighting For Our Children's Birthright

Old certainties are being replaced by insecurity and the deliberate destruction of all we hold dear by a rolling neo-Marxist revolution disguised as Political Correctness. Waiting in the wings to reimpose 'order', an ever more confident brand of Islam is recognised by more and more people as a mortal threat to their traditions, liberties and even their lives. Old alliances crumble and a new political age dawns.

Our time is coming, and you and I know it. So too do our opponents, hence the ever more desperate efforts by phoney champagne socialists like John Cruddas and their friends in the mass media to demonise or demoralise us. They are running out of time. But, equally, so are we, both as a nation and organisationally.

The latest figures showing maternity wards in our hospitals literally swamped by foreigners don't even give the full scale of the looming demographic disaster, because vast numbers of 'British-born' mothers are in fact of unassimilable immigrant stock. Thus the widely publicised figure of £350 million of taxpayers' money spent by the

NHS on maternity services for foreign-born mothers represents only a fraction of the real cost of our genocide-by-replacement by those of Third World stock.

To make matters worse, 207,000 British citizens emigrated last year alone. One of our people leaves every three minutes, overwhelmingly in the hope of making a new life somewhere with lower taxes, less red-tape and no Third World invasion.


The resulting shift in the balance between the Indigenous and the Others on Britain's population see-saw is truly terrifying, particularly because all the trends involved are subject to positive feedback loops - the more immigrants already here, the easier others find it to come, and the more Brits leave, the more of those left behind want to follow them. One doesn't have to be a BNP candidate looking at the shifting demographic sands in key wards or constituencies to see our children's birthright slipping into the darkness.

There is no spare time to lose; miss out on one breakthrough in the election cycle, and by the time the same opportunity comes around again it may be too late.

Our organisational lack of time is equally pressing. The convergence of catastrophes bearing down on the old political and socio-economic order will hit home at whatever time Fate has chosen for all those vultures to come home to roost. The only question is to what extent we will be ready to take advantage of the resulting popular dismay and anger at those who will be blamed - the parties in charge - in the seven or eight years in which the coming Age of Scarcity really bites.

EXTEND OUT LEAD

To do the job properly, we need to win enough seats and acquire enough experience to earn a reputation for competence in local government, at least in opposition. We need to turn our current lead over the other parties in the use of Information Technology into the capability to compete with the liberal mass media - at least among the politicised Middle Englanders who will inevitably lead any effective Peasants' Revolt against the failed liberal 'elite'.



miles? By taking a deep breath, and taking the first of an untold number of next steps.

So here are some of ours - our steps towards greater professionalism, towards more effective organisation, towards a structure and constitution built for both growth and stability, and towards the even greater accountability that is needed to bury the far-left-inspired lies about fictitious 'corruption'. This is how we are Building to Grow.

The phrase will already be familiar to the thousands of BNP members and donors who received our first professionally-designed appeal letter shortly before Christmas. Even though I knew it was coming, my first thought when I opened it was "Oh hell, this is so much more professional than anything we've ever done before that some people will think it's a hoax!" Fortunately, they didn't.

The help we have received and will continue to receive in this field is invaluable. If the anguished cyberspace squeals and mock scorn of the far-left and their allies weren't enough to show that we're on the right track here, then the sheer success of the first appeal proves the point.

NEW ADVANCES

Just some of the advances that your generosity has already helped to fund are shown in the 'New Unit' feature alongside this article. I'd like to take this opportunity to thank all *Identity* readers who contributed, and to suggest that everyone involved starts to look for someone who didn't help who can gently have their arm twisted to do so with the next appeal.

That will be launched shortly, with the aim of raising the extra funds we need to boost our advertising budget for the crucial London Assembly campaign, to provide help in other particularly promising local council campaigns as well, and invest further in BNPTv. In order to avoid these regular appeals relying too much on existing members and donors, we will be working hard to collect new names and addresses of potential donors so as to spread our fund-raising reach ever wider.

In addition to helping with such operations, the professional firm involved will also be providing us with management consultancy advice and training. We are already working to take advantage of this, starting with our central team and with the subsequent aim of 'cascading' improvements in techniques and internal systems down through regional leadership and then to the branches.

To give just a glimpse of what is going on behind the scenes, until recently we operated centrally on trust and without a management system. Indeed, my attempts to create this obvious necessity were partly what created the recent problems with several middle-ranking central staff and sub-contractors. This was particularly the

case with our website, where the benefits of my acting to 'take the brake off' at the start of last December are now plain for everyone to see.

So with the old negativity now in the past we are experimenting with time studies, working practices analysis, forward planning sheets and various other checks necessary to ensure that our members and donors get value for money at all times.

Once finalised, such best practice oversight will be carried out by the party's Central Management Team, which is made up of three volunteers with extensive business experience. The newly created full-time post of Administration Support Officer - filled by highly respected South West stalwart Michaela McKenzie - will also help improve co-ordination and efficiency.

Overall, it was clear more than a year ago that the BNP had grown in size and potential sophistication to the point where we needed to change management styles from the ad hoc arrangements of a cornershop business to the formalised and permanent system needed by a national company.

Such a huge change of 'management culture' cannot be made overnight or without ruffling a few feathers, but my belief is that it will be effectively carried through centrally, and will already be spreading further through the party, by the start of May. The essentials, in other words, will be in place ready for the summer of rapid growth that will follow if we break through in the GLA contest.

A key field in the 'cascade' operation will be the regionalisation of our treasury operation. Details of how this will work are on the Organisers' section on our main website (now open to everyone, please take a look).

Our Eastern and London regions are to be the first to receive the training to run their own Electoral Commission accounting units. This is being done this month and there will then be a trial period to 'debug' the new system with the first two regions. Thereafter the aim is to shift this function from Head Office to every single region as quickly as each is capable of handling it.

Part of the point of such regionalisation operations is to save staff time and costs for other work which can only be done centrally, but the biggest single advantage will be to create an organisation where a well-spread work-load avoids bottlenecks that would otherwise choke off rapid growth.

This will be particularly important for our Education & Training department. The first of the series of Voting Members' ideological booklets and audio CDs will be produced in March, and training and initial courses for the regional E&T officers will take place at about the same time.

We are also examining the possibility of having VM dues collected and used at regional level as opposed to being a source

We must move from our first uncertain steps in community politics to become the party of deep community roots. Where the Lib Dems developed cracked pavements into political progress, we must go further and create an even bigger competitive advantage over our political rivals by rolling out and building upon 'deep community' initiatives such as setting up community groups, youth work and running Community Observation Patrols.

We need to turn our impoverished, hand-to-mouth, string-and-sealing wax party into a cash-rich, long-term planned, highly trained and superbly equipped power-winning machine. We need to have the reputation, the trained personnel, the organisation and the wherewithal to be the best known and most popular replacement for the failed old order, and the most effective and attractive of all the alternatives to it.

All of which leads to one obvious question: How do we get from where we are now to where we need to be - perhaps in far less time than we appeared to have a few years ago? How do you walk a thousand

of Head Office income as was originally envisaged. This would provide a built-in incentive for regional and local officials to encourage as many people as possible to become and remain Voting Members, thereby helping to build the broad base of highly committed and educated activists that is essential to the party's long-term health and stability.

The Voting Membership system is very much a work in progress and post-dates the last major revision of the BNP Constitution, which was undertaken by our then administration department head in 2005. A major reason for my creation of the VM system is to vest powers over policy-making in the hands of the core membership rather than in one man as leader.

While the steady and careful passing of core powers to the Voting Members will prudently take several years, their rights over policy formation at least need to be constitutionally enshrined this year. There are various other changes crying out to be made - including standardising the procedure for leadership elections.

Many of these necessary changes are in the 'write-protected' parts of the Constitution and can thus only be made by a two-thirds majority vote of an Extraordinary General Meeting. A small Constitutional Review team established at last month's meeting of the Advisory Council has already met to discuss possible changes and their implementation.

The review team is deliberately weighted with 'old hands' - myself, Eddy Butler and veteran East Midlands Regional Organiser Geoff Dickens. We understand the need for genuine democratisation in the hands of a body of people who have really earned the right to such power. Yet decades of bitter experience also make us wise to the dangers of naïve proposals based on the fallacy that a nationalist movement that is perpetually under siege by hostile and subversive opponents can be run along the lines of a local darts club or a stable Western country.

POLITICAL WAR

The BNP faces permanent political war, so our organisation, while needing to continue its cautious move away from the dictatorial pre-1999 constitution, must always remain on a 'war footing'. Committee rule may suit a dominoes' club, and the idea may flatter those who are promised extra votes by the self-interested, but it's no way to run an army in the field - which, in political terms, is what we have to be.

We have to walk an extremely fine line - checks and balances that are essential safeguards against ego or dishonesty are vital, but take them one step too far and they could create the potential for a small group of *Searchlight*, state or liberal/Tory infiltrators to paralyse the party's central

Building to Grow - The Res

Storage, treasury and dispatch all under one ultra-modern roof. The new Excalibur light industrial/office unit is near Chester, just three miles off the motorway network. Everything's at ground level, which makes it far more suitable for handling huge amounts of printed paper than the old upstairs unit in Leeds, which is now freed up for further expansion by Great White Records.

The new unit means that, for the first time ever, everything connected with Excalibur is under one roof and under the control of a marketing and business professional, Arthur Kemp. Arthur has worked tirelessly over the last six weeks to turn around an operation that had already been saved from financial collapse by his production of a working website shop and simple but effective mass mailing catalogue.

The fact that Excalibur is now running smoothly, meeting growing numbers of orders and establishing a reputation for efficiency is testimony to the dedication of Mr. Kemp and Dave Joines, who have achieved all this despite the severe handicaps imposed by the refusal of the previous operators to hand over bank accounts or statements, accounting records or money, and by repeated attempts to sabotage the Paypal online ordering system.

Money from the *Build to Grow* appeal enabled us to move straight in to the kind of premises that we've known for some time we needed so badly. And, in addition to being able to put funds aside for the rental and utility bills, the fantastic generosity of so many BNP members and supporters has also meant that the whole place could be properly fitted out right from the start with multiple phone and internet lines, storage racking, sub-dividers, office furniture and other vital office equipment including an ultra fast laser printer for mailmerges.

If there was ever a time when BNP donors can see true value for their money, these photos show that it's now. Many thanks to all who've helped, and to national treasurer John Walker, who put so much work into finding the right place at the right price, and to getting it up and running in less than three weeks from when we first made the decision to make this major Building to Grow investment.

Arthur Kemp

Rhodesian-born Arthur Kemp has a degree in Public Administration and has worked in high level retail operations including nearly four years as National Retail and Home Shopping Market Analyst for Royal Mail. He is now working as overall manager of Excalibur, although now the operation is settling down into a healthy routine he will be increasingly freed up from handling day-to-day matters and will then be able to concentrate more directly on politics and his role as part of our new Education & Training Department.

Folder-Stuffing Machine

Just delivered! £15,500-worth of latest model folder/stuffer for the fully automated dispatch of large numbers of bulletins and mailshots. This replaces the older, slower machine that was in use until last November. The new machine can handle more different sheets per stuffing, thereby enabling us to do more sophisticated mailings. It will also allow us to restore the option for us to send out a localised insert in the monthly *British Nationalist* members' bulletin to help Regional Organisers. We intend to have the older machine overhauled and moved in as a backup and for use for mail-merges at election times alongside its new big brother.

Bagging Machine

High speed publication bagging machine. *Identity* subscribers who receive their subs through the post in plastic and a wrap around sheet will be the first to get a glimpse of what this newly acquired machine can do. The new system is slightly cheaper but, more importantly - with a capacity of 2,000 A4 items per hour - many times faster than stuffing publications into envelopes by hand. The savings on man-hours will be huge. *Freedom* subscribers will see an even more obvious benefit as their papers will arrive with just one neat fold, instead of having been creased up to fit in an envelope. All publications will continue to arrive "under plain cover."

ults

operations at a crucial moment.

Hence the initial proposals for constitutional change are being very carefully worked out, with a view to long-term stability. Once the first stage is completed they will be widely circulated so that they can be debated and refined, concluding with an EGM which is likely to be held at end of this August's Red-White-and-Blue. In the meantime, the current Constitution may be found on our website. Please study it, and submit any proposals of your own to your Regional Organiser, who will pass submissions on to the review team.

Another aspect of modernisation in which we have made huge progress already is financial accountability. Forget the fantasy tales of John Cruddas, the BBC and other assorted liars; the combination of internal controls, independent auditing, Electoral Commission oversight and hostile media scrutiny of our full annual accounts makes the BNP the most financially transparent party in Britain.

And, fortunately, this is going to become even more the case during 2008. New International Audit Standards being imposed on the UK this year mean that the British National Party will be audited to the same standard as a giant multi-national company such as Shell or BP. This is not just about checking the figures at the end of the year, it is more about even more stringent systems of control, particularly on staff and key officials - myself and Treasury included.

Clear 'paper trails' have to be provided for all major expenditure decisions, the auditor will be linking things such as decisions to hold specific appeals with resulting 'spikes' in real income (to explain this at its crudest, lack of a 'spike' would indicate theft). This oversight has to extend to local finances as well.

We have recently abolished several sorts of red tape for local BNP officials which were imposed over the last few years, since on reviewing them in the light of recent events we have concluded that they served little purpose other than bureaucratic empire building. But this one is different, as it is backed by the law and our independent auditor's requirements. Thus we have no choice but to accept it, which is good because it's clearly sensible anyway.

At the heart of the new level of protection will be a simple duplicate book supplied to each branch and group. The moment each collection has been counted by the fund-holder and an independent witness, the amount will be entered into the book, and the Chairman of the meeting will announce the total from the book, rather than the customary scrap of paper used hitherto. The top copy will then be submitted to the Regional Treasurer, who will be able to compare the amount raised with what is actually paid in, either to petty cash records or to the bank.

At a stroke, a practice that has relied

totally on trust and which has sadly been abused by a corrupt minority of local treasurers or fund-holders for decades, will be replaced by a proper system to protect members' money and ensure that every penny goes into audited funds, without the potential or temptation for any of it to vanish into individual back pockets. No doubt this has been very rare, but it is clearly good to be shutting out even the possibility of theft in the future.

MOVE INTO THE BIG LEAGUE

Finally, on top of this raft of organisational, constitutional and financial preparations for our move into a bigger league, increases in experience, confidence and finance are allowing us to flex our political muscles as well. The brilliant coup by a retired police officer member, Michael Barnbrook, in being the first to expose his corrupt local Tory MP Derek Conway, showed that we no longer have to think of ourselves as always being on the receiving end of politically motivated pressure. We can hand it out as well!

Of course, the central party cannot take credit for that one, although the speed with which our website carried the story of how it was a BNP member who started the ball rolling may well have contributed to the fact that various mainstream media outlets pointed this out as well. And from now on we will certainly work to remind our grassroots to be on the constant look out for similar opportunities for attack politics in the future.

Meanwhile, a series of complaints made by Richard Barnbrook and highlighted by BNPTv against the repulsive Livingstone crony Lee Jasper also appear to be bearing fruit. As we go to print, one head has already rolled and it has been reported that Jasper himself has been called in to talk to Knacker of the Yard.

And there's more of this newly confident and politically pushy BNP where that came from! We're working right now on a project that, for a few thousand pounds, will put the real shocking facts about anti-white racist violence in front of the Powers That Be and the media in a way that practically guarantees huge publicity both for our message and for our move into the mainstream.

As all these improvements are put in place over the next few months, friends and foes alike may at times wonder how on earth we do it. How does such a small, demonised and relentlessly pressured organisation manage to punch so far above its weight that it constantly bounces into the very centre of British political life?

You and I already know, of course. It's because we're right, because this is our time, and because the guts and the spirit that made our people a beacon of freedom and inventiveness to the world still live on in the British National Party.

» One of the greatest lies the British people are told over and over again by those wishing to see this country submerged in a sea of one-world universality, is that we will somehow be enriched by all this great cultural diversity; that Britain will somehow be a better place for this wave of immigration which is set to change fundamentally everything that is British, into something else.

Arthur Kemp defines what it is that we wish to preserve. Why is it that we do not want to see all that has gone before, submerged? What was so good about the "old" Britain, which we struggle so hard to keep alive?

The Genius of Britain

We could go into the vast contribution Britons have made to the world of art, literature and the social sciences, but if you are like me then you would prefer the real practical things, the everyday items which have shaped the very world in which we live. And in this regard, Britain has not only played a major role, but in fact the dominating role. Consider the following historical facts.

The British mathematician and inventor, Charles Babbage, laid the basis for all modern computers with his Difference Engine and the Analytical Engine. The precursor to the modern digital computer came in 1936, when the British mathematician Alan Turing developed the Turing Machine - a device looking like a typewriter that could process equations without human direction. From this machine the idea of buttons and keyboard for a computer was developed.

The British scientist Tim Berners-Lee, developed the hyperlink technology which laid the basis of the World Wide Web which is so all-permeating throughout the world today.

The Scot John Napier invented the first system of logarithms and also invented mechanical systems for performing arithmetical computations. Sir Christopher Wren was not only an architect, but also designed and invented a weather clock, the forerunner of the barometer; and undertook pioneering work in the development of blood transfusion.

Sir Isaac Newton was the one who worked out an all-encompassing mechanical explanation of the universe resting upon the law of universal gravitation. His work was so far reaching that it was still used in the 20th century when space exploration was planned.

Samuel Crompton invented the spinning mule, a machine that was able to spin cotton into thread finer and faster than was possible with hand spinning. John Kay invented the Flying Shuttle, which increased the speed of weaving and permitted picking to be performed by one person. He also invented an improved combing, or carding, device. Richard Arkwright designed a spinning frame in which cotton fibre was spun into thread. Edmund Cartwright invented the power loom, a wool-combing machine and a steam engine fueled by alcohol. The power loom was the one single invention which made the (largely British) Industrial Revolution possible.

WEALTH OF IDEAS

James Hargreaves invented the Spinning Jenny (named after his daughter) which made possible the automatic production of cotton thread. The Scot James Watt developed the first viable steam engine, a device which had originally been invented by the English engineers Thomas Savery and Thomas Newcomen. Watt also invented the rotary engine, the centrifugal or flyball governor which automatically regulated the speed of an engine; and an attachment that adapted telescopes for use as land surveying equipment - a device still in use today. The electrical unit, the watt, was named in his honour.

Edward Jenner pioneered the use of vaccines, most notably against smallpox, while Michael Faraday developed the gas burner used in scientific laboratories which later became known as the Bunsen burner. His other great inventions include: benzene; electromagnetic induction (which gave the world one of its greatest developments, the electric motor - Editor); and the laws of electrolysis which bear his name.

Henry Bessemer invented the process by which modern steel is manufactured, and Richard Trevithick is regarded as the father of railway travel.

Alexander Graham Bell was the Scottish born American inventor who won fame for inventing the telephone; his other great invention which was just as important but for which he is not widely known, is the aileron, used in every aircraft.

The first written reference to gunpowder - and how to make it - appears in the writings of the 13th Century English monk Roger Bacon, belying the oft held theory that it was developed in China

Alexander Graham Bell

and exported to Europe. In fact, the Chinese had what they called 'fire powder' - an inflammable chemical which they used in bamboo tubes to make clay pellet firing 'rockets'.

Modern tarred roads were the result of the work of two British engineers, Thomas Telford and John Loudon McAdam. Telford designed the system of raising the foundation of the road in the centre to act as a drain for water; eventually this design became the norm for all roads everywhere.

William Gilbert, coined the word "electricity" in 1600, when he used the Greek word for "amber" to describe the phenomena in a book on the subject; and James Prescott Joule demonstrated that electric circuits obey the law of the conservation of energy and that electricity is a form of energy. The unit of energy, the Joule, is named after him.

TV PIONEER

Television was pioneered by the Scotsman, James Clerk Maxwell, who in 1873, predicted the existence of the electromagnetic waves that would enable pictures and sound to be sent by air instead of along wire as was then the case.

Willoughby Smith, and his assistant, Joseph May, discovered photoconductivity after observing that the electrical conductivity of the element selenium changes when light falls on it. This characteristic was used in the vidicon television camera tube. John Logie Baird, developed the technology to the point where he is credited with the development of modern television. The first electronic method of scanning an image for use in conjunction with Baird's development was developed by A. A. Campbell-Swinton. Cathode rays were first noted by the British chemist and physicist, Sir William Crookes. Campbell-Swinton was the first to suggest that a cathode-ray tube (CRT) be used to reproduce the television picture on a phosphor-coated screen.

Sir George Cayley developed the concept of the modern

airplane, and is considered to be the founder of the science of aerodynamics. The essential form of the modern airplane, a rigid-wing structure driven by a then yet to be invented engine, was designed by Cayley in 1799. In 1808, Cayley had persuaded his coachman to man a glider he had built which was then launched: it carried the protesting employee some 900 feet, before crashing: the first recorded flight by any person in an aircraft. Cayley then published his findings in a paper, *On Aerial Navigation* (1810) which earned him the title of the Father of Aviation. In this paper he laid out the basic ground rules for aviation which are still in use to this day: inclined rigid wings; rudder steering control and streamlining. The first jet engine was designed and built by Sir Frank Whittle in 1937.

The British chemist John Dalton is regarded as the father of atomic theory. He wrote that the particles or atoms of different elements were distinguished from one another by their weights, and in 1803, published the first table of comparative atomic weights, inaugurating the quantitative atomic theory.

Ernest Rutherford, discovered the alpha, beta and gamma rays of radiation given off by uranium, allowing scientists to penetrate further the secrets of the atom. Rutherford established that the mass of the atom is concentrated in its nucleus and that electrons circle the nucleus, each with different electrical charges.

In 1932, Sir John D. Cockcroft and Ernest Thomas Sinton Walton, were the first to use artificially accelerated particles to successfully disintegrate the nucleus of an atom. They produced a beam of protons (positive particles) which were boosted to high speed by means of a high-voltage device called a voltage multiplier. These particles were then used to bombard a lithium target to produce the desired result.

Rowland Hill, a schoolmaster, invented the postage stamp in 1837, an act for which he was knighted. Through his efforts the first stamp in the world was issued in England in 1840. To this day, Britain remains the only country in the world not to put its country name on its stamps because it was the first and all other stamps are just imitators.

Charles Hanson Greville first identified the chemical properties in natural rubber, opening the way for others to start working on the development of synthetic rubbers.

Robert W. Thompson developed the first pneumatic tyre. William Murdock invented practical industrial-scale gas lighting - later extended to streets. Percy Gilchrist and Sidney Thomas jointly extracted the first phosphorus from iron, while Frederick Hopkins discovered the existence of vitamins in 1912.

TIP OF THE ICEBERG

Other inventions which came from Britain include: the anemometer and the universal joint, invented by Robert Hooke; disc brakes, invented by Frederick William Lanchester; the tin can, invented by Peter Durand; road cats' eyes, invented by Percy Shaw; cordite, invented by James Dewar and Frederick Abel; the electromagnet, invented by William Sturgeon; the fax machine, invented by Alexander Bain; the dew-point hygrometer, invented by John Frederic Daniell; holography, invented by Dennis Gábor; the metal lathe, invented by Henry Maudslay; the lawn mower, invented by Edwin Beard Budding; the Periodic Table, invented by John Newlands; the periscope, invented by Howard Grubb; polyester, invented by John Rex Whinfield and James Tennant Dickson; rubber bands, invented by Stephen Perry; the seismometer, invented by James Forbes; the seismograph, invented by John Milne, James Alfred Ewing, and Thomas Gray; the vacuum cleaner, invented by Hubert Cecil Booth; and waterproof fabric, invented by Charles Macintosh.

It is truly no exaggeration to say that the genius which has come out of the native, indigenous population of Britain, has quite literally shaped the very earth itself. And people still ask: why do we wish to preserve it?

Rather, the question should be: why would anyone want to change it!

» Although Christian Church attendance in Britain is at an all-time low, the majority of our people have a deep sense of Christian identity. Tim Heydon suggests that a new concept, civilisational Christianity, should be introduced and the BNP be committed to defending it.

The Defence of Christian Civilisation

As Britain is currently deep in a clash of civilisations with Islam, phrases like 'Britain is a Christian nation' and 'defending Christian Civilisation' are in the air. Most nationalists intuitively grasp that these phrases express an important truth, but most would have a hard time explaining exactly what that truth is. Especially given that Britain is not a theocracy like Iran, and only a small percentage of the population attend church anymore. But 72% (source: <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk/2756041.stm>) of Britons still report themselves as Christian on the census, so there is a profound undercurrent of attachment to our traditional, albeit rather decayed, faith - a sense that it's still a part of who we are as a people, and therefore part of what we must defend, if we are to defend our nation.

It is in order to resolve this puzzle of a deep lingering sense of Christian identity that people feel, but can't quite explain or defend, that I would like to introduce a new concept: *civilisational Christianity*, and explain why the BNP will henceforth be committed to defending it. The basic idea of civilisational Christianity is this: Christianity is not only a religion, but also a component of our civilisation. Therefore, it can be usefully understood and defended as the latter quite independently of its purely religious aspects. (The converse of civilisational Christianity is *religious Christianity*, a term referring to the actual religion, including all its metaphysical beliefs.)

The concept of civilisational Christianity holds that religion itself should be left to the free choice of the individual, in accord with our long tradition. But religion is not *just* religion. It is *also* a part of the social order, part of what makes us who we are as a nation, and part of what makes populations behave the way they do. These are social matters, which take place in society at large and affect everyone whether they are religious believers or not. They are thus fit matters for the attention of the state - and thus of political parties like ourselves.

RELIGIOUS FREEDOM

Because the BNP believes in religious freedom, we believe it is not the government's business whether you go to heaven or hell, or what you believe about how to get there. But the social effects of religion are another matter: we believe it very much is the government's business whether you try to send your neighbour to either destination with a knife in a dark alley. Therefore, it is the government's business whether a popular belief in hell dissuades a meaningful number of people from so trying to send their neighbours.

Or whether an alien set of religious beliefs causes foreigners to blow up buses on the streets of London.


Do not misunderstand. I am not suggesting that the BNP should embrace theocracy, become a Christians-only political party, or taken an official position on religious questions. In our official view,

Christianity may be true, or it may not be: we do not claim to know. We have no party policy on whether God exists, whether the Virgin Birth happened, or whether people who die with unconfessed mortal sins go to hell. These are questions of theology, to be left to theologians; they are not questions of political ideology, the proper business of a political party.

Religion is a subject that it is impossible *not* to take a position on, as taking no position constitutes an endorsement by default of the *status quo*. It is a subject concerning which the state can allow a huge amount of freedom, but can never be absolutely indifferent.

Liberals tend to deny its importance entirely, which makes them totally incapable of understanding the problems we face today. For example, they dogmatically insist that we can't possibly be in a religious war and insist that *jihad* against us is caused by poverty, US foreign policy, Israel, the oil companies, or some other cause. Similarly, they are blind to the spiritual decay of so much of our population, the collapse of its basic self-respect that results in self-destructive behaviour that cannot possibly be explained by material poverty alone.

If only to protect ourselves from the religious fanaticism of others, we need to be realistic about the fact that human beings are by nature religious, and therefore religion brings about actions in society, for good and ill. So we have a right to have some democratic say about religion in this



"It is therefore the duty of princes and heads of republics to uphold the foundations of the religion of their countries, for then it is easy to keep their people religious, and consequently well conducted and united. And therefore everything that tends to favour religion (even though it were believed to be false) should be received and availed of to strengthen it; and this should be done the more, the wiser the rulers are, and the better they understand the natural course of things."

- Machiavelli, *Discourses on Livy*, volume 1, chapter 12

country, provided, just like with anything else, the state respects people's legitimate freedoms while doing it.

Only an actual Christian believer, naturally, can be *religiously* Christian. But anyone, of whatever actual religious conviction or lack thereof, can be civilisationally Christian - if they believe in defending Christianity as a civilisational order.

The exception, obviously, is believers in religions that are innately hostile to Christianity. So one can be a civilisationally Christian Jew, Confucianist, pagan, or Stoic. But one cannot be a civilisationally Christian Marxist, Nazi, or Muslim. The social contract, between indigenous Britons and foreigners of other religions, should be that we will refrain from religious hostility if they will support our right to a civilisational order based upon our own religion.

There are countless reasons why we must defend the Christian identity of Britain. Christianity has shaped England, Scotland, Ireland and Wales as long as they have been distinct nations, so it is so large a part of our identity that to give it up, would mean giving up that identity. If we gave it up, we might still exist, but we would no longer be ourselves.

We cannot repudiate so large a part of our heritage without repudiating who we are; it is no accident that liberals, who attempt to sever us from our heritage, are notorious for their self-hatred of their own national identity. Liberalism is basically

secularised Christianity anyway; it is also no accident that a conscience shaped by centuries of a highly idealistic religion like Christianity, which then abandons its faith in a God who forgives us when we fail to live up to that conscience, will end up hating itself.

PART OF OUR SOCIAL ORDER

The foregoing is a perfect example of the spiritual mess Britain has gotten into, by forgetting how profound a part of our social order religion is, even when we don't realise it. Human beings are by nature religious, so a religious vacuum is impossible. Therefore, we can't have no religion, despite the fantasies of the crypto-Jacobin and post-Marxist secularists who run modern Britain. But if we have to have some religion, we are clearly better off with the highly civilised, free, and indigenous traditions we have - whose rough edges have sanded off by centuries of reform - than we are with any likely alternative.

The alternative to a Christian Britain (however tolerant and 'civilisational' its Christianity) is chaos. If we were lucky, we might revert to one of the honourable forms of paganism our ancestors practiced, like Druidism. If we were less lucky, we might collapse into materialistic decadence, submit to a scientific tyranny like in *Brave New World*, become hopelessly divided among exotic imported sects, or surrender to the aggressiveness of a certain foreign religion.

You can't fight a something with a nothing. If we are to prevail against fanatical believers in Islam, globalism, and other religions and crypto-religions, we must possess a depth of belief sufficient to produce action as vigorous as our enemies. Otherwise, they will win, simply because they believe in something to fight for, and we don't.

And Christianity *may* actually be true after all. (I don't personally claim to know.) If there is a God, and obeying His commandments will get you into Heaven, any sensible person would! No atheist has ever disproved His existence, and most, like Karl Marx, just set up crypto-Gods of their own.

Whether it is true or not, the Christian faith brings us inestimable benefits as a society. Let us list some of its civilising effects, the kind of things that make Christianity 'civilisational'. The list below is not intended to be exhaustive, but it gives some idea of why we should *want* to be a Christian society, even if we're not convinced of its actual truth:

1. Christianity teaches people to behave themselves. Three thousand years after they appeared, the Ten Commandments are still a reasonably good guide for how a decent person behaves. Anyone who disagrees, should just ask himself whether or not he would prefer that his next-door neighbour observed them.
2. Christianity strengthens social solidarity. Trust and mutual respect flourish better in a society where people believe in 'do as you would be done by' and expect that others will behave similarly.
3. Christianity gives us common rituals, cultural references, history, and beliefs, giving emotional depth to our experience of sharing a society. Would you want to be married in a shopping centre, or see the Queen crowned in a tube station? No, and there's a reason: you intuitively sense that some things are sacred. We need a way to express that sacredness.
4. Christianity satisfies the natural human craving for religion, providing feelings of transcendence and mystical experiences. Many people wander around miserable because they want this but don't know it, so they take drugs in pursuit of higher states of consciousness, or dabble in various kinds of cultural and intellectual nonsense.
5. Christianity keeps people from wandering into dangerous foreign religions. It is no accident that the sort of people who would have become Marxists 30 years ago are the same ones who convert to Islam today. Even fairly benign imports, such as Buddhism for example, alienate people from their own heritage, confuse the impressionable young, and we know little

of their long-term consequences.

6. Christianity consoles people when they suffer. This applies to both personal tragedies like deaths in the family, and to people who are stuck in unhappy lives, poverty, etc.
7. Christianity gives courage and moral certainty to the defenders of our country. There are indeed no atheists in foxholes. We need firm, simple, and socially-accepted grounds for defending our way of life and the existence of right and wrong.
8. Christianity chastens the arrogance of the rich and powerful. If there is no God, such people fear nothing, and believe that their arrogant existence is the zenith of the human race. This tempts such people into megalomaniac ideas like world government.





9. Christianity makes society less materialistic. Even moderately materialistic people are happier if they have something to balance mere material consumption.

10. Christianity reduces the need for the welfare state, by reducing social pathologies and encouraging self-reliance.

11. Christianity, properly understood, is anti-globalist. When Jesus went out into the desert and was tempted by the devil, his third, final, and worst temptation, was rule over all the nations of the world. (Mat 4:9) But Jesus said no, implying that world government is an evil thing *even if Jesus himself were in charge*. The other great anti-globalist passage, of course, is the Tower of Babel. (Genesis 11:6)

12. Christianity helps create a better secular culture, by inculcating habits of profundity, moral seriousness, and reverence for tradition. By teaching that the creation of beauty glorifies God, it provides a philosophical basis, beyond the mere pursuit of pleasure, for art. Can it be an accident that we had a much better culture when our society was more Christian?

Some nationalists may be suspicious of civilisational Christianity because of the poor reputation the Christian right has acquired in certain foreign countries. So it is important to understand how our idea of defending Christian civilisation differs from the ideology of, say, the Republicans in the USA, or the Christian Democrats in Germany, Italy and elsewhere.

First and foremost, civilisational Christianity does not claim Divine sanction for its political agenda. The good of society remains a secular political question, and it does not believe it is the business of the state to take religious truths as the basis of public policy. So we would not, unlike Christian right parties, wish to ban abortion on theological grounds, take a literal interpretation of the Bible as our authority on who owns what in the Middle East, or countenance wars to hasten the Second Coming of Christ.

MEANS TO GOOD ENDS

But if the good of society is a secular question, the *means to that good* can still include anything that is effective in attaining it - which includes religion. Religion as an end in itself is not a legitimate objective of state: that is the view of theocracies like Saudi Arabia or Iran, and was the view of Puritan fanatics in the English Civil War. But religion as a means to legitimate social ends, *is* a legitimate state objective - provided, of course, that it is done, like anything else done by the state, in accord with our traditions of liberty and democracy.

Civilisational Christianity understands, as existing Christian Right ideology does not, that *Christianity is not a political ideology*. Although it has a moral philosophy, it does not, in itself, say much about government. Christianity obviously wants Christians to support the kind of government that will further the practice of Christian ethics, but it has almost nothing to say about what kind of government this might be. For example, there is nothing in the Bible that says whether Christians should prefer democracy or monarchy, and devout British Christians have supported both, at different times in history.

In fact, I believe it is precisely *because* Christianity is not a political ideology, that existing Christian right political parties have failed, in the end, to defend Christian civilisation. They have reliably sold out to things like the capitalist destruction of

Christian decency in culture and mass immigration by non-Christians. Why? Because they are looking to Christianity to provide their ideological foundation, something it cannot do. Our party will not make this mistake. As believers in democratic ethno-nationalism, we already have a firm ideological foundation, and will not be tempted to.

The Bible verse that best expresses the above idea is Jesus's command to,

"Render unto Caesar, the things that are Caesar's; and unto God, the things that are God's" (Mark 12:17)

Government is fundamentally a secular problem. But as long as human nature includes a religious impulse, religion is part of the reality that government has to deal with, and it should deal with it sensibly, by gently encouraging religious opinions that are socially-beneficial, and discouraging those that are harmful. This is, I think, the traditional, though unstated, British view. There is a reason we have both an established church in this country *and* freedom of religion: past generations understood the need for civilisational Christianity, even if they didn't call it that.

One final caveat is needed, before we embark as a party on the defence of Christian civilisation. Christianity is an easy religion to twist for illegitimate ends, so we must make sure the version of Christianity we are defending is the right one. As noted above, this party will take no stand on purely religious questions, but we do need to make sure we don't defend a version of Christianity with harmful social consequences.

We are presently burdened, in the Church of England and many other denominations, with a twisted liberal version of Christianity that is not a bulwark of our civilisation at all, but a cancer within it. The Church of England has failed in its duty to promote a socially-beneficial religious message. It should not be disestablished, but must be subjected to ruthless reform.

There are many ways liberals have perverted Christianity, but I shall for now give only one example. Liberal Christians argue that Christianity mandates multiculturalism. They point to such verses as:

"There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither slave nor free man, there is neither male nor female; for you are all one in Christ Jesus." (Galatians 3:28)

But this is sophistry: the verse above is not a call to abolish such distinctions here on earth, but the assertion that all persons can potentially become a part of the Mystical Body of Christ, namely his Church, and obtain salvation. If we took it in the liberals' sense, it would imply the abolition of the sexes, for one thing! There is not the space here to examine all such problematic interpretation of the religion, but the point that they must be resisted, should be understood.

Chorleywood 2.02
Rickmansworth 2.24
Croxley 1.83

West Ruis
gdon

0.67 Ickenham

Ruis Garde
Sou Ruis

Northolt 1.10


South Harrow 0.98
Sudbury 0.98

Greenfor

London 2008 Gets Off To A Flying Start!

100,000 Leaflets
250 Activists

The Biggest BNP Day of Action Ever!



Many of those who attended the rally assembled for a photo shoot. In the background can be seen one of the huge 'Barnbrook for Mayor' A-boards that will be touring London in the run up to May 1st

The weekend of the 19th and 20th of January saw the party's GLA campaign get off to a flying start. The first of some 250 BNP activists who descended on London from Wales, Lancashire, Yorkshire, the East and West midlands and the South met up at 10.30 on the Saturday morning to take part in the first day of what would turn out to be the party's biggest weekend of action ever!

The activists were broken down into groups of four and each group sent off to different areas. This was all part of a carefully planned and mapped-out programme developed by mayoral candidate Richard Barnbrook and BNP Elections Officer Eddy Butler. This maximised the ground covered by the

activists and ensured that each man-hour leafleting was spent in the most productive way possible.

The leaflet distributed was *The Londoner* which was designed by Mark Collett and can be seen to the right. This A3 full colour glossy brochure went down as well with the public as it did with the party activists, many of whom stated it was the best leaflet the party has ever produced.

The feedback over the weekend was fantastic, with many members of the public coming out of their homes to express their support for the party. This was boosted as party leader Nick Griffin joined the leafleting teams to distribute *The Londoner* and spend some time chatting with real Londoners.

to line
Ba
Ce
Cl
Di
Ea
P14 Hammersmith & City
Jubilee
Metropolitan

Under construction

National Rail

Single and return tickets issued for Underground/DLR journeys are not valid between Gunnersbury-Stratford or Custom House-North Woolwich

Closed Sunday

Served by Piccadilly early morning



According to the UN's *Global Environment Outlook* report human activity has reached an unsustainable level, outstripping available resources. By 2050, the world's population could reach 10 billion, up from about 6.7 billion. The rapid increase in population has been causing alarm for many years. Many ecologists argue that problems such as deforestation, climate change and famine show that the world does not have enough resources to support its current population. They say that we must act to control population growth if we are to avoid environmental disaster, war and human suffering.

Opponents of this view argue that people are the world's ultimate resource and will find ways to cope. They rely on new ideas and inventions that make better use of resources or use newly discovered materials and technology. Essentially they are prepared to gamble that we will be able to find new, technological solutions to our problems. So far they have proved right and we have all been lucky!

This debate is not new. It started over 200 years ago when Thomas Malthus, the British economist, warned that world population was rising faster than food supplies and would result in famine, disease and war. In the event, however, new agricultural techniques allowed world food supplies to increase to support this population growth.

It is clear, however, that greater and greater demands will be placed on our ability to come up with coping strategies and technical fixes. Consider energy use. The US has a population of around 280 million, whereas India has over 1,000 million (over three times as many). If we look at energy use, however, people in the US use over twenty-eight times more than those living in India do. Although the US has fewer people it has a bigger impact on world resources than India. This pattern is the same in Europe, Japan and Australia. They and North America consume around 80 per cent of global resources though they represent only 20 per cent of world population. But this is without taking into consideration the growing economy of China.

Most of the focus of discussion of our problems has centred on how people living in developed countries can use fewer resources and to an extent how we can distribute resources more fairly. Certainly it is important that we look at our own lifestyles and systems to make them more sustainable.

The real question, however, is: what will happen if the majority of people living in developing areas were to live like those in developed areas? Friends of the Earth suggest that if in 2050 everyone lived the same lifestyle as people in the UK today, we would need 1.5 planets to provide enough land, 3.5 planets for wood, 4.5 for cement, 5.5 for steel, and over 8 planets to provide enough aluminium and energy!

We can overcome scarcity of some resources more easily than others. Some resources are classed as 'renewable'. Forests can be re-planted after trees have been removed. Other resources such as

» With the world in general now outstripping its natural resources, Stephen Garrick puts the case for the necessity of controlling world population and how this can be done with fairness for all nations.

A New Age of Scarcity



Food

Since 1970 global food supply per person has increased by 20 per cent, despite the addition of a further 2.3 billion people. Problems are not so much about supply as about distribution and the related consequences of intensive production methods. Rising food demand means that by 2030 developing countries will need 120 million hectares more to feed themselves. Yet it seems likely that increased food output will have to come mainly from higher output from the land already in use.



Land

Since 1987, the expansion of cropland has reduced. But land use intensity has vastly increased. The food security of two-thirds of the world's people depends on oil-based fertilisers.

Population density has also dramatically increased. Globally, there were about 44 people per km sq. in 1999. By 2050 it is projected at around 66 per km sq. In developing countries the proportion of people living in towns rose from 17 per cent in 1950 to 37 per cent in 1994. For the first time in history, in this century there will be more of us living in urban areas than rural ones.

oil, coal and minerals such as copper, bauxite (used to make aluminum) and iron ore are non-renewable.

The growth in world population is putting great strains on our renewable resources (see panels). Even where we are trying to renew resources it takes time. Fast-growing trees need around twenty-five years before they can be harvested; yet in this length of time the world population could increase by 2 billion people!

In the longer term we will have problems with certain non-renewable natural resources, particularly 'peak oil'. Proven world reserves of natural gas are equivalent to about 60 years use at current rates of consumption and reserves of petroleum to about 45 years (this is the date for exhaustion not Peak - Ed). Important metal reserves are also limited - tin 41 years, copper 33 years, cadmium 30 years, lead 23 years and zinc 20 years.

Yet the picture is a little more complex. The figures undoubtedly underestimate the full amount of eventual reserves. Oil and mining companies don't explore resources for use in a distant future. It's only when consumption and need rises that they do this. Increased prices also encourage the use of substitutes, greater economy in use and so on, which lead to a decline in consumption. Scarcity can be kept at bay for some time - but can it be done indefinitely?

CHILDREN OR SCARCITY

There is clearly a need to control population growth if we are to avoid scarcity. This is an area fraught with difficulty, however. People have rights, including deciding how many children to have. In addition some religious faiths have strictures concerning sex and reproduction. The Roman Catholic Church opposes the use of contraceptives. There are around 921 million Catholics worldwide. Not all Catholics follow this, however. In Italy where almost 82 per cent of the population are Catholic, contraceptives are used by 78 per cent of couples and the population is expected to decline by 28 per cent by 2050. This pattern is repeated in all the developed countries where contraceptive use is widespread.

Cultural factors can also inhibit use of contraceptives. In Africa, for example, contraceptive use increased from 3 per cent in 1986 to 12 per cent in 2000 but the continent still has by far the world's lowest use of contraceptives. As the United Nations Population Fund said: -

"In many societies a young woman is still trapped within a web of traditional values which assign a very high value to child-bearing and almost none to anything else she can do. Her status depends on her success as a mother and on little else."

The general approach has been to support people in choosing the number of children they have. Many women, particularly in developing countries, would like to have fewer children and longer gaps between each birth. Poor families with fewer and more widely spaced children spend more per child on food; they have

"Population has come to evoke something threatening, something which casts a shadow over the future."

- Barbara Duden. Institute for Cultural Studies, Essen, Germany.



Water

Irrigation already accounts for about 70 per cent of available water. Meeting the 'Millennium Development Goal' on hunger will mean doubling food production by 2050. Fresh water is declining. By 2025, its use is predicted to rise 50 per cent in developing countries and 18 per cent across the developed world. The UN says: "The escalating burden of water demand will become intolerable in water-scarce countries."



Fish

Consumption of fish more than tripled between 1961 and 2001. Subsidies have created excess fishing capacity, estimated at 250 per cent more than is needed to catch the oceans' sustainable production.

Most of the main fishing grounds are already fully exploited, with yields at or near sustainable limits. In most fishing grounds within easy reach of Europe, yields have been falling because of overfishing.

taller, healthier children who get more parental attention, go to school for longer and do better when they are there.

In countries where family planning is very widely used, fertility rates have tended to come down below replacement level. The problem, frankly, is those developing countries where family planning is little used. Overseas Aid has failed historically to be directed at supporting family planning. It amounted to less than 2 per cent of development aid according to figures published in 1996.

The second priority is basic education for girls in developing countries. In developing countries as a whole girls average only 58 per cent of the years of schooling of boys compared with 99 per cent in industrial countries. Better education for girls has a marked impact on fertility. Girls are more likely to be aware of the advantages of family planning, tend to marry later and start families later, thus reducing the length of their childbearing time. The status of being educated also gives women more say in family decisions, including those related to family planning.

In Asia, Africa and Latin America women with seven or more years of schooling have 2-3 fewer children than women with three years of schooling or less.

DRACONIAN MEASURES

In 1979 China introduced a strict 'one child policy', under which married couples had to apply to the state for permission to have a child. They would be guaranteed free education for the child, a state pension and various other family benefits. If they had a second child, however, they would lose these and could be fined up to 15 per cent of their incomes or even lose their jobs.

This policy is draconian and has arguably had unintended consequences. There is a huge gender imbalance in China where an estimated 111 million men will struggle to find wives because of a shortage of women created by aborting female foetuses. Apparent quick fixes like this are probably less effective in the longer term than economic and structural change based on education and family planning.

It is tempting to seek to separate ourselves from the complex problems of developing nations. Yet we rely on resources which come from these countries in many ways and can't afford to ignore questions of scarcity. The real question is not whether we have a relationship with these countries but what kind of relationship we have.

We have a vested interest in helping these foreign countries control their population growth, for example. Experience and logic both tell us that improving family planning provision and education for young girls are the two best ways we can do this. If implemented this policy creates a virtuous circle, which improves the quality of life for people in those countries and shifts gender power relations to a fairer model. It is a win-win situation for all.



Deforestation

Between 1980 and 1995 around 180 million hectares of forest were cleared but only 20 million hectares were planted. Most of the clearance took place in developing countries where populations are growing most rapidly, while almost all the planting took place in the developed nations. Set against this, however, much of the forest clearance in developing countries provides materials for people living in developed nations.

Deforestation continues at a rate of 130,000 sq. km (50,000 sq. miles) in the tropics. This has been slightly offset by a 30,000 sq km (11,500 sq. miles) rise in temperate forests between 1990 and 2005. Felling in the Amazon has slowed.

Objectives Runnymede

» Mike Whitby looks at the workings of the Runnymede Trust, a think tank on ethnicity and cultural diversity promoting a multi-ethnic Britain, and asks if it is engaged in an attempt to re-create history?

Back in 1215 AD in medieval England a group of barons demanded changes from King John. These barons had grown very powerful, as they controlled the armies of the Norman 'occupying forces' in England, and they wanted to use this power to gain more rights from the King. Although the Normans had conquered England 149 years earlier, the nation was still in a very turbulent state. The oppressed English people were still the slaves of the Norman barons that controlled all the English Shires. The potential for anarchy was ever-present. Also, the Welsh, Picts and Danes were ready and waiting for the opportunity to re-occupy lands that had been seized by the Normans, and controlled by this well organised army.

The barons used this precarious atmosphere to put pressure on the King, primarily to fulfil their personal ambitions. After stalling for a while, King John eventually relented, and met them at a place called Runnymede, in Surrey. At this meeting, the King, who probably had little choice, signed a document called The Magna Carta (or The Great Charter) which granted enormous swathes of land and privileges to the barons. This document has been held up throughout history, as a huge turning point in democracy, and the transfer of power to the people. This was ostensibly a charter for the "common man". But, in reality it was a charter for the rich landowners to gain further power. At the time it did little for the people of England or Britain as a whole, but it made the barons far more wealthy and powerful than ever

Of the e Trust

Well it may be a pure coincidence, but there is now an organisation calling itself The Runnymede Trust, which has been set up, ostensibly, as a charitable trust. This is an unelected, and undemocratic, multi-ethnic organisation which has been established, to quote: "change the attitudes and culture of the British people." This multi-ethnic organisation, which is clearly not populated by members of the indigenous people of Britain, but people from every other nation in the world, has a clear and stated intention to change the culture of our country. This group is growing in power by the day, influencing our government, education systems and media, and the people of Britain are largely oblivious to it. And, all of this is being done under the banner of a charitable organisation to make this a better country. The question is; a better country for whom, the indigenous people of Great Britain, or the foreigners that wish to overrun our country?

Is it just me, or are there an enormous set of parallels between the barons of 1215 and the Runnymede Trust of 2007? Why did a multi-ethnic organisation choose to name itself after the place, in England, where the King was subdued by a similarly powerful and undemocratic organisation? Are they based at Runnymede, in the south western Home County of Surrey? No. They are based at Bethnal Green, in the East End of London. An area whose population is becoming increasingly overwhelmed by every nationality other than British. What other reason could there be, for choosing a name of such significance in British history? - An organisation purporting to represent the people of Britain, but in reality, leading this nation of ours toward an outcome that will only serve to undermine the indigenous people of this land. If they succeed in changing the British culture, it could be changed for a thousand years, maybe forever. And, at some indeterminable stage, perhaps forty or fifty years from now, when the indigenous people of this country are the ethnic minority, the laws will be changed dramatically, to echo the rules of such harsh regimes as Pakistan or Iran. Then, God help our grandchildren!

ROWNTREE TRUST

In October last year an excellent expose of the campaign carried out against the BNP by the Rowntree Trust, which has similar objectives as the Runnymede Trust, appeared on the BNP website (www.bnp.org.uk). A government grant of £105,000 had been given to the Joseph Rowntree Charitable Trust (JRCT) to see why people voted BNP in two specific areas: Barking and Dagenham and Stoke on Trent - two of the BNP's strongest areas. As the BNP webmaster put it, with wit: "Don't assume that the Joseph Rowntree Charitable Trust is some well-meaning organisation funded by the purveyance of chocolates bars and fruit pastel.... For here we find the usual collection of middle-class liberals peddling the failed concept of multiracialism and enforced diversity with what appears to be an unhealthy, almost religious zeal."

On its own website the Runnymede Trust, apparently working in cahoots with the JRCT, explained why it was investigating the way people vote in the key BNP areas. "The aim is to gain a better understanding of the profile of far right supporters, and what motivates them, as well as the relationship between far right and other forms of extremism."

The same Runnymede Trust gave a whopping £30,000 to the racist "Operation Black Vote" and £35,000 to the so-called "Islamic Human Rights Commission."

The fundamental question is: will the British people remain oblivious to this insidious threat (whilst spending their waking hours watching reality TV programmes) and allow this to happen? Or will they awaken in time to save our nation, if not for their own sake, for that of their grandchildren?

Let's hope they come to their senses soon, and realise that our leaders (who only have a mandate from a minority of the voters, due to our archaic 'first past the post system' of so-called democracy) are selling us down the river.

before. However, the Magna Carta is now acknowledged by most people as the closest form of written constitution that our country has, without actually being one. Great Britain is one of the oldest democracies in the world, yet we are probably the only country in the western world that does not have a written constitution. Successive politicians appear to have believed that we don't need one, but are they merely hedging their bets to retain a greater level of flexibility for their own ends? This must surely be true of the Blair/Brown governments, who have moved our constitutional 'goalposts' so many times that most of us no longer believe that we live in a democracy.

MAGNA CARTA

The signing of the Magna Carta by King John was a good example of an organisation which had manipulated a situation where the 'powers that be' were forced to adopt a new set of policies that would change the course of British history. And, in doing so, they not only wrested power from King and Country through a bloodless military coup, but they convinced everyone else that they had brought about this 'social victory', democratically, for and on behalf of the common men and women of Britain. After which, they controlled the monarchy, power and wealth of Britain for hundreds of years. But that was 792 years ago, what does it matter now?

John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

Red Ken and his Multicoloured Coterie

Surely white voters in London must realise that Ken Livingstone is not just a perky, independent minded man of the left, who collects newts (and often gets as pissed as one). The recent investigations by Channel 4 and *The London Evening Standard* reveal that he is surrounded by a coterie of unelected old chums of deep red political opinions and equally well-paid "equality" advisors of various shades of duskiness. The latter group is headed by long-term Marxist Lee Jasper, who is under investigation by the *Evening Standard* over his links to as many as 13 'questionable projects', which have received more than £3.3m in City Hall cash. The two projects at the centre of the investigations happen to be: 'Brixton Base' and the 'Black Londoner's Forum'.

Now Lee Jasper's deputy was Rosemary Emodi who with the director of 'Brixton Base', Errol Walters, a 'very close friend' of Miss Emodi, went on a 'fact-finding' mission to Nigeria, staying at a five-star beach resort near Lagos. At first City Hall denied that Miss Emodi went on this jolly with her 'very close friend' and said that she was at work in London during the period. Seeing that this fiction would not wash, she was then forced to resign.

Brixton Base has since gone bust, with £535,000 in City Hall money paid into it unaccounted for.

The Channel 4 programme revealed numerous other globe trotting 'fact-finding' missions, usually with Red Ken in attendance at the cosmopolitan troughs. More important were the disclosures on his team of unelected advisors, where his connections go way back to when they were all members of Socialist Action, which was the British representative of the old Communist Action Group in Moscow.

In spite of securing the Muslim vote, it would seem that Livingstone is losing votes to all of the Mayoral candidates standing in the May 1st election, including to the BNP candidate, backed by a campaign supported by a team of activists from all parts of the country that will have distributed 300,000 special 'Londoner' leaflets by the time these words are read.

The BNP's advance has until recently been a case of three steps forward and two back. Since the New Year it has been more like four steps forward and one back. However, it will take more than that for the BNP Mayoral candidate, Richard Barnbrook, to beat Livingstone and all the Lib-Lab-Cons despite his proven ability to 'steal a march' over them.

Richard's audacity in plonking himself at the head of the 22,500 police protest march through London was inspirational. His reward, which must have been worth another few thousand Londoners' votes, was to see a report, with a photograph, of his intervention on the front of *The London Evening Standard*, London TV regional news (until they pulled it), and *The Daily Mail*, and without a photograph in *The Times*, *Guardian*, and *The Daily Mirror*, plus a scoop for BNPTv who ran the story within two hours of it all happening with full comments from Richard on why he was supporting the police.



Richard led the police march in London

The media reports were interlarded with squeals of disgruntlement from the mainly liberal-left at Richard Barnbrook's attendance. Brian Paddick, a former top cop in the Metropolitan Police and now the Liberal Democrat Mayoral candidate, whinged: "I felt very uncomfortable that there was someone from the BNP. I was aware of him being there and I pointed it out to federation officials but there was nothing more that I could do". Publisher of *Searchlight* Gerry Gable said: "The police federation leaders should have told him to get lost." Splutter, splutter.

Actually Gerry, one of the best comments came from the police federation (funnily enough only reported in the *Mirror*): "He's a legitimate politician. The BNP isn't illegal." Coming from the *Mirror* that's real progress for us.

The Global Slump We Predicted

Writing in November last for our December issue, Nick Griffin said of the global capitalist crisis that was beginning to unfold: "This is not a little local credit difficulty for the US financial sector and shareholders in Northern Rock, nor even a replay of the economic weaknesses of the first and final years of the last Tory government; this is going to be an economic crisis of a depth and length unseen since the Great Depression between the wars. This, in a word, is 'it'."

Since then, the UK stock market has lost some 15% of its value, with several hundred billion pounds being wiped off the value of shares. A similar story has been repeated in stock markets throughout the globe, with even worse collapses in Asia and Euroland. The conventional method of lowering bank rates has been either ineffective or limited because of its side effect of stoking up further inflation, particularly in rising fuel and food prices. In any case as far as Britain is concerned Government borrowing has already breached official limits, leaving little room to cut taxes or boost public spending to kick start the economy.

For once we will agree with the Conservatives, where their shadow chancellor George Osborne said: "Gordon Brown could have used the boom years to prepare Britain for the lean years. He failed to take the tough long-term choices and so we are not well prepared to deal with the difficult economic times that may lie ahead."

In the editorial of last year's September issue of *Identity* we stated that the global slump that was then beginning was another long term BNP prediction coming home to roost. Although we cannot isolate ourselves completely from the erratic behaviour of the world's money markets, the way of modifying its effects that



Northern Rock marked the start of the decline

we gave then has not changed. We must retain and regain British ownership and control of British industry and resources, including financial resources, as much as is possible. We must give protection for industry and jobs by selective tariffs on foreign manufactured goods that we can make competitively ourselves. We should stop artificially inflating the value of the pound, which makes British workers more expensive and foreign workers cheaper.

As a footnote to these comments on the financial crisis, on January 10th Tony Blair, not known for his in-depth knowledge of banking, was appointed to the board of Wall Street-owned investment bank JP Morgan Chase at a salary of £2m a year. The following day it was announced that Northern Rock, bailed out by the British taxpayer, had sold its portfolio of lifetime home equity release mortgages for £2.2bn to JP Morgan. What an amazing coincidence.

Hain's Mysterious Backers

The police investigation into Peter Hain's undeclared donations of £103,000 to his funds for his campaign to become Deputy Prime Minister, has still not answered the main question. This is not what did he spend a total of over £185,000 on to come fifth out of six contestants to replace two-Jags John Prescott, but what was the purpose of the Progressive Policies Forum, through which some of his donations were channelled?

Set up in December 2006 by John Underwood, a PR man who is a former Labour Party communications director, the PPF exists only on paper. It has no office, no staff, no publications and its only activity was passing on money to Mr Hain's campaign. The main contribution, consisting of a £5,000 donation and a £25,000 interest-free loan of £25,000 came from Mr Willie Nagel, a Romanian-Israeli diamond dealer. Oddly enough Mr Nagel was involved in a controversy in the 1990s after donating money to the Huntingdon constituency of John Major, the former Tory Prime Minister.

Even odder was the report by James Kirkup in *The Daily Telegraph*, 15.01.08, that another donation to Hain's fund, via PPF, was Isaac Kaye, who gave nearly £15,000 and is reported to have been a supporter of the National Party in apartheid-era South Africa. Did Hain know about this? If so, in view of Hain's long-standing reputation as a militant opponent of apartheid and, in his youth, friendship with people who were later charged with terrorist activities, he is not only a bumptious, self-opinionated bore but also a hypocrite.

Police action is also imminent on the separate issue of the 'Donorgate' scandal involving proxy donations to Labour by David Abrahams, the property developer.

Lib-Lab-Cons Reject Nazir-Ali's Warning

Few politicians, let alone Christian churchmen, have had the courage to question the impact of a growing Muslim population on the structure of the United Kingdom as has the Bishop of Rochester, Michael Nazir-Ali. His father converted from Islam to Christianity when living in Pakistan, which has incurred the hatred of many Muslims for both father and son.

The Bishop's most telling point, which seemed to have been missed by many commentators, was that our political elites were

in thrall to "the novel philosophy of multiculturalism" which made it easier for Muslim extremists to exploit this emphasis on separatism to recruit more young potential terrorists. This at a time when a *Times* investigation (06.01.08) reveals that around half of Britain's mosques are under the control of a hardline Islamic sect whose leading preacher Riyadh ul Haq loathes Western values and has called on Muslims to "shed blood" for Allah. Needless to say, Muslim condemnation of the Bishop of Rochester was unanimous.

Jacqui Smith, Home secretary, was vaguely critical, suggesting that the Bishop was exaggerating when he said that Islamic radicals have turned parts of Britain into "no-go" areas.

Liberal Democrat leader Nick Clegg called the idea of no-go areas "a gross caricature of reality". This could be said of much of his own statements, including his abuse of those still calling for a referendum on the EU Treaty, since taking over the Lib-Dem leadership.

William Hague, the failed Tory ex-leader who sometimes gives a vague impression that he might actually care about what is happening to Britain, again disappointed us by saying: "I don't think that view is factually correct. I'm not sure where these no-go areas are. I don't recognise that description." Will readers in Birmingham, Burnley, Luton, Rochdale, Tower Hamlets *et al* kindly volunteer to give him a guided tour. It might be safer for them if they just dump him on the outskirts.



Who's backing Peter Hain?

UK Gas Consumers Being "Stuffed Again"

Do you remember those days when our suppliers of services were overwhelmingly British owned? When, for example, Yorkshire Water was owned by mainly Yorkshire business interests, with all profits being spent within the county if not the country, and Eastern Electricity generated most of its power in Ipswich, Suffolk, where its headquarters was located. Now they are nearly all owned by French, German or American financial groups. OK, some of you might say, this is private enterprise and competition is healthy. The BNP is fully supportive of this concept where UK business operations are concerned, But the set-up now with our international power suppliers is that the British consumer has no options, he/she is caught in a trap.

The large European power monopolies like Germany's E.ON and Gaz de France (GdF) have increased our gas prices by around 15% and electricity by around 12%. Yet price increases for their continental customers are only a quarter of this!

The consumer group Energywatch has said that some of Europe's energy giants held on to gas during the late summer and autumn and put it into storage for the winter, with Continental customers being given priority, despite there being plentiful reserves of gas that could be piped to the UK. What is more, a substantial part of that gas came from the UK North Sea gas fields back in the summer!

Just before Christmas, Allan Asher, Energywatch chief executive, said: "The amount of gas available is greater than for many years, but there is market manipulation which is creating winter scarcity". In regard to pipeline flow capacity he added: "This would not happen if there was an open and transparent market throughout Europe. But there isn't, and as a result British consumers are about to be stuffed again."

Perhaps I am getting deaf, for I did not hear any complaint of this manipulation of prices from the Lib-Lab-Cons. We would have done from the Old Labour Party, but as it was sold out, together with its principles, it is now left to the BNP to do so, as on so many other issues.

Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 1WT, England. E-mail: identity@bnp.org.uk

LETTER OF THE MONTH

>> Why Not Condemn Violence?

The astonishing letter by Dr Phil Lofts only confirms my original assertion about

groups opposed to the BNP. Surely the

only acceptable response to the use of physical violence against a legitimate British political party is to condemn it. But he more or less says it was understandable and even justified. This attitude is shared by many of his so-called 'anti-fascist' colleagues. If we start going down that road then British democracy begins to resemble that of many third world countries, where violence and intimidation decide the outcome of elections.

Dr Lofts then goes on to state that Barnsley people lack the necessary skills for the 21st century economy, and that we need skills brought in by newcomers from around the world. But many of these newcomers are working in low-skilled jobs in factories, warehouses, or service industries. In fact they are taking jobs that would normally be done by British working class people, and are undermining the wage levels for these jobs which were low enough to start with.

It is not us that are a danger to British society, Dr Lofts, it's you with your mad schemes to transform society according to your own 'multicultural' fantasy.

Paul Harris
Barnsley BNP Organiser

This letter was published in the Barnsley Chronicle. It was chosen by the Editor as Letter of the Month and the author receives a year's subscription to Identity. When submitting a published letter please attach a copy of the newspaper's masthead.

BARNSELY
CHRONICLE

Friday August 28, 2004

Barnsley's leading weekly newspaper • www.barnsleychronicle.co.uk

45p

TOWN

Furthermore, the UK has never considered any form of 'Visa barrier' at any time.

Essentially it is clear that the despotic EU's secret controllers could only allow a Europe-wide style visa, if at all. I feel that on this issue, as well as most others, we must get out of the European Union. Immigration is the EU's method of holding wages down and impoverishing our people. Visa-entry control after restoring Britain's independence would, I suggest, help solve our unemployment problem and, at the same time, ease the worries of the original British population that we are becoming swamped.

Note that our local Stockport newspaper will not publish any of my letters on this theme of Visa-entry control.

Harold Horsfall
Stockport

>> Be True. Be Brief.
Or Be Quiet.



After reflecting upon the article *The Myth of Scottish Independence* (ID No.85), I have the feeling that the editor of *Identity* (perhaps like the BNP itself) is not only far too nice for loutish and unfeeling British politics but is also open-minded and democratic to the point of self-harm.

Three cheers for *Identity* for publishing the article, but then we had another 750 words of friendly crossfire from Dave Hannam's ostensibly superior nationalist firepower that psychologically causes a faltering of the brave heart for us Scots.

Being that generous with your time toward such prevarication while wandering about in the wide no-man's-land of Scottish independence is a suicidal bemusement.

We live in politically dangerous times. Recognise that the showcasing of knowledge for its own sake is as nothing when compared to the sharper focus of activists trembling on the verge of do or die action. This is a cognitive imperative.

Leave those who like to swan down the

>> Immigration Department Lets Illegal Go Free



I was working at a London railway station early in the New Year when I had cause to stop a Vietnamese man travelling fraudulently on a child ticket. He became very evasive regarding his name and address so police assistance was called for.

It transpired that the Vietnamese man came to this country on a tourist visa which had expired some considerable time previously. He was therefore in the country illegally. I left the police to deal with the matter.

Later on I spoke to the police and asked them how it had gone. The police officer was very angry because after contacting the Immigration Authorities it was decided to let the Vietnamese man go free because the Immigration Department had nobody available to deal with it. This is unacceptable! The police were also very unhappy but there was nothing they could do.

I have read in the papers about illegals being stopped and simply being requested to hand themselves in to Lunar House in Croydon and it seem this is our Government's immigration policy to this day.

Name and address supplied but withheld for obvious reasons

>> Visa Entry Control

Under the European Union's Labour and Employment Directive (cunningly called 'Service Directive') we are legally bound to receive 'anything which walks in'.

First: there must be a rational immigration policy. Promises towards this end are always being made by ministers, however, these promises are never kept. We have had enough of empty promises. Out with the hot air and in with the action.

We have to have a sensible cap on numbers. What is the optimum population? We have to know how many people can be absorbed, both socially and economically. We have to know if flood plains can take new housing, roads, hospitals, schools and airports, or indeed whether we want more of this expansion of our infrastructure on our green and pleasant land. We have to know if there is sufficient drinking water for everybody. We have to know that the NHS can cope. The basis for a rational immigration policy is to put the needs of our country first. At the moment we put the needs of the immigrant first.

A BNP government could follow sensible policies based on strict criteria, admitting only the number and type of

people that the country actually needs and can cope with, in addition to deporting all illegal immigrants. Do this and the problems would mainly solve themselves.

First: ask why it is that immigrants come here. What makes Britain such an attractive choice? Why will they cross half the world and as many as 48 countries to get to these shores?

British Embassies abroad put out leaflets encouraging people to emigrate to Britain. When they arrive the immigrants find that the promises they read about really do come true. Everything is made as smooth, as professional and as welcoming as possible. Go to any port or airport: go to the immigration centre at Croydon and you will see it in action for yourself.

Immigration staff act as a welcoming reception committee offering free accommodation, transport to that accommodation, interpreters, clothes, mobile phones, lawyers and counsellors. There are introductions to similar ethnic groups already established here. Money is

given for food and incidental expenses. We the taxpayers provide free community centres, social, leisure and recreational facilities. The Big Lottery Fund provides millions of pounds worth of legal help for appeals for those whose applications for asylum fail.

As back-up there is free health-care, free education, free legal aid, free language and integration courses; child benefits both for the children they bring with them and the ones they left at home. Anything that can be done to make the newcomer feel comfortable, is done. No wonder they think it is the land of free money.

Nobody tells the newcomers that all this is funded by the British taxpayer, who often has no access to similar benefits for himself or *his* family.

CAN'T PROVIDE FOR OUR OWN

Nobody is saying that newcomers to our shores do not deserve to be treated humanely. Of course they do, but if you

How to Reduce Immigrant Numbers



» Although most Britons now say that our culture is being eroded because there are too many immigrants in the country, many of them also say it is too late to do anything about it. Joan Green says this is defeatist talk. There are a multitude of ways to limit immigration if only the will to do so were there. Here are a few suggestions.

arrived in a country where all this was promised and the promises came true, wouldn't you think that it was a land rich beyond compare, where all citizens were given at least as much as you received and probably a great deal more? How well off they must be you would think, these citizens in this promised land. How fortunate to have been born here.

You might think so; so might millions of others. The real story, as we well know, is nowhere near that simple. This is a country that cannot provide for its own, where elderly people are defrauded of their pension savings and then expected to exist on just over £100 a week, where they cannot afford to keep warm and are told to sleep in woolly hats to keep the cold out. This while new arrivals get hotel rooms with unlimited heat and hot water. The double standards beggar belief.

The first thing any government with a sensible immigration policy might do would be to make application for entry to this country possible only from abroad. If, like

in Canada and the USA, you could only apply for an entry visa from outside the country, the queues at Calais, Dover and all the other ports of entry would be abolished in one stroke. There would be no retrospective applications from those who had previously entered on a student or holidaymaker's visa. Anyone wanting to apply for admission would have to do so from elsewhere at one of our embassies abroad - with no exceptions to the rule.

The embassies which would then be on the front line of all admission procedures would be issued with strict guidelines about who and what Britain needs. The focus would be altered from what the immigrant needs to what our country needs. This would be a sea change of enormous proportions, but it is not rocket science. Our Commonwealth cousins in Canada, Australia, New Zealand and also the USA have had more than 100 years experience of doing just this. It works very well for them. It could work very well here.

Unlawful entry would have to be

policed efficiently and swiftly. This would mean opting out of the European Convention of Human Rights, which is not an insurmountable problem. There is already an opt-out clause in the Convention which would allow us to leave six months after giving notice to do so. After that we would regain control of our *own* borders and could protect our own citizens with our *own* laws. All foreign criminals could then be deported and the courts would not have the power to prevent it.

Any foreign citizen found guilty of committing a criminal act would be deported immediately. If his country of origin was not willing to take him back (as often happens now) or was considered unsafe for him to return to, then suitable host countries could be paid to accept him. Italy already has such an arrangement with Libya. Zimbabwe is short of funds and a member of the Commonwealth, it could perhaps be persuaded to swell its coffers in this way. It costs British taxpayers £45,000 per year to keep a man in prison - more if he needs interpreters on a constant basis - anything that stemmed this huge drain on the national purse would be favourable.

CLEAN BILL OF HEALTH

With any responsible immigration policy, good health must be one of the criteria for entry. There was a time, long ago, when we had stamped out TB, gonorrhoea and syphilis. HIV was under control and numbers were steady at just over 7,000 cases. Now the incidence of sexually transmitted diseases has risen by 63% to 376,000 last year with new cases of HIV trebling in three years. It seems obvious that people are coming here as health tourists and bringing their diseases with them. As one doctor said to me, "I am forced to treat people from all over the world who have never paid a penny into the system and have no intention of doing so in the future."

One of the rules of future entry should be the possession of a comprehensive, private medical insurance plan which covers an immigrant for the first six months of their stay. Again, this is something that the USA and Canada have always had. It is immoral to offer free treatment for life to a foreign AIDS victim while denying treatment to a British pensioner who is going blind. It is immoral, but this is exactly what happens right now.

The immigrant should also have funds to support himself and his family for at least a year. Welfare would not be given during this period. If the husband left the country deserting his wife and children here, then their fare would be paid for them to follow him. On no account should the British taxpayer be asked to foot the bill for the feckless parents of foreign families. The same should apply to unaccompanied minors who regularly arrive at our ports to be educated and brought up at the



taxpayers' expense. No child benefit should be paid for children who live outside this country and welfare of any sort for anybody should have strict time limits applied to it. With the present system it is possible for people to be considerably better off on benefits - particularly sickness and incapacity benefits - than they would be if they went back to work. This is demoralising for the individual and ruinous for the country. It has led to 5.4 million people becoming economically inactive, doing nothing useful or productive for society; takers not contributors and all the better for it financially. Financial help when needed should be given, but as a loan in most cases, not a gift; a loan to be paid back into the National Insurance Fund when the person is able.

It is immoral to condemn people to a life on benefits where they cannot afford to go back to work. It makes them paupers of the State and ruins their physical and mental wellbeing.

Another immoral aspect of our current health care system is the way in which we rob developing countries of their medical staff. International law makes it illegal for developed countries to poach medical staff in this way, so we get around the law by sending agencies out to these countries and then recruit through them.

We have recruited 1,000 foreign doctors a year into the NHS for the past 30 years. We now have no places for our own British-trained junior doctors. At a time when 2,000 of these junior doctors are unable to find training places in our hospitals, there are 20,000 foreign doctors working within them. Furthermore, European laws prevent us from giving preference to our own young doctors who have cost us £250,000 each to train!

ESTABLISHED IMMIGRANTS

So far I have dealt only with new applicants for admission to this country. What about the people who are already here? How should they be treated? With courtesy, consideration and all due care for their wellbeing, but foremost, by putting the cultural, economic and social needs of this country above theirs.

Animal welfare activists have a field day protesting about guinea pigs being raised for medical testing or foxes being hunted. Where are the protests when an animal is cruelly slaughtered under halal ritual? Their silence on this matter is deafening. Halal slaughter should be made illegal on grounds of animal welfare. Health and Safety officials, the RSPCA and the police should strictly enforce the law.

From animals to humans, and particularly females. In our climate we need all the sunshine we can get to prevent calcium and vitamin D deficiency. Our NHS simply does not have the resources to cope with self-induced illness of this nature,

so, covering the body from head to toe, wearing veils and headscarves should be forbidden in public places on health as well as security grounds.

School nurses should be empowered to inspect for female circumcisions, which is currently illegal, but unmonitored. Doctors should be required to report all cases that they discover. Those who break this law by mutilating young girls should be rigorously pursued and duly punished.

Interpreters should cease to be provided by the NHS. This would save £100 million a year. The money saved could be spent on language courses and improving health education of ethnic minorities.

Separate schools which cater only for a specialised and restrictive intake based on foreign religions should be made illegal. All schools should stick to the National Curriculum or be closed down.

Taxpayers' money should not be spent on committees or organisations which exist solely to boost the rights of ethnic

minorities. Provision of foreign language services inhibits integration into mainstream society, so interpreters and books in foreign languages should cease to be provided by local government. This would save millions, reduce council tax, encourage newcomers to learn English and thus integrate faster. This is not my idea, I have borrowed this point from Trevor Phillips, head of the Equality Commission.

All religions should be established in a similar way to the Church of England. Heads of all churches should be appointed by the Queen and her government, just like the Established church. All services should be mainly in the English language and all sermons compulsorily so. If sermons were preached which did not conform to the established norms, then there would be sanctions for those doing so. These sanctions could include imprisonment and deportation, even if the perpetrator was a British citizen. Citizenship for foreigners must be revocable. It is a precious gift, not a

False Passport - take your pick!



right for anybody to abuse.

All clerics ought to be educated in the UK. Their training should be at specified training colleges, coming under the supervision of the Ministry of Education. The curriculum should be set out by the Ministry and the way it is taught should be closely monitored. Selection of candidates for the training courses should include tests on national loyalty, Britishness and the English language. They should then be licensed for a specified term.

Prospective or actual marriage partners brought in from abroad should be subject to the same stringent admissions procedures as any other immigrants. Family members should not be able to slide in under the protection of the one original immigrant - as is the case now. At the moment, one immigrant can sponsor the entry of an unspecified number of family members (which can and do sometimes number 100 relatives) and still count as only *one* immigrant in the official statistics.

Honour killings and maimings would be punished by the expulsion from the UK of the **WHOLE** family. It should be known that there is more honour to a family in upholding the law of our land than in the warped value system of a corrupted few.

Sharia, or any other kind of deviant law, would not be tolerated at any cost. At the moment, Sharia law is frequently used in Muslim family disputes and domestic matters. This is illegal and it is the thin end of the wedge. Family law today and revenge killings and hands cut off tomorrow; it will not do.

Eliminating factors which make life so comfortable for immigrants and so uncomfortable for the rest of us, would remove the special attractiveness of our country and even up the system for ourselves. At the moment it seems to many people that immigrants get allocated more resources than indigenous people. Whether or not it is true - and I have listed some ways in which it certainly is - the very suspicion of it is poison to a well integrated society.

Holland has come to its senses over this and has begun to tighten its generous gifts to newcomers. The result? 20,000 Dutch Somalis now live in Leicester and white people are due to become a minority in that city within three years.

If the measures outlined above were put in place there would be no immigration problem in this country. Most of the people flocking here would stay away or be kept away and those here who found they did not like the new conditions and the expectations placed on them would simply leave of their own accord. No one would wish to impose hardship on anybody else so resettlement grants could be given to those who wanted to leave but could not afford to do so. Follow these measures and the problem is largely solved. It isn't too late and it - could be done. The fact is that no one except the BNP has the will to do it.

GREAT WHITE



WEST WIND

WEST WIND

www.greatwhiterecords.com

Contains 11 tracks written by Nick Griffin, available to buy online at www.greatwhiterecords.com or by sending a cheque or postal order for £11.00 (inc. p&p) to
PO Box 74, Market Weighton, York, YO43 4WT

Let's Win in London

On the right BNP London Mayoral candidate Richard Barnbrook can be seen on the front page of *The London Evening Standard* leading the police march through the capital. This unprecedented good publicity coupled with the massive push of activism in London which has so far delivered 300,000 copies of *The Londoner* leaflet (which can be seen on our centre spread) makes it even more possible that winning a seat on the Greater London Assembly really is an achievable goal.

We hope to deliver at least another 300,000 *Londoner* leaflets before May 1st. This effort will be coupled with mobile A-boards, inclusion in the Mayoral booklet and our stunning BNP London website. Please help us to keep the wheels rolling on our best campaign ever and let's take another huge step towards power.



Yes, I want to help the British National Party win a seat on the London Assembly and take another huge step towards power...

☐ £10

☐ £25

☐ £1000

☐ £50

☐ £100

☐ Other:

Name:

Address:

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less

Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £17.50 ☐ £35 ☐

Overseas air mail Europe £23.20 ☐ £46.40 ☐

Overseas air mail Middle East, North and South America £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Overseas air mail Australasia, Far East £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Please Note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to PO Box 17, Amlwch, LL68 8AB. You can subscribe online at www.bnp.org.uk. Photocopies can be used.

Identity Bulk Order Rates


5 - £9.25; 10 - £17; 15 - £24; 20 - £31; 25 - £38; 30 - £44; 40 - £58; 50 - £71; 60 - £84;
80 - £110; 100 - £135

Postage - £2.15 for 5; £3.90 for 10; £7.75 for 15 - 25; £8.80 for 30 - 40;
£10.00 for 50; £10.80 for 60; £12.55 for 80-100

London 2008 Gets Off To A Flying Start!

100,000 Leaflets
250 Activists

The Biggest BNP Day of Action Ever!



Many of those who attended the rally assembled for a photo shoot. In the background can be seen one of the huge 'Barnbrook for Mayor' A-boards that will be touring London in the run up to May 1st

The weekend of the 19th and 20th of January saw the party's GLA campaign get off to a flying start. The first of some 250 BNP activists who descended on London from Wales, Lancashire, Yorkshire, the East and West midlands and the South met up at 10.30 on the Saturday morning to take part in the first day of what would turn out to be the party's biggest weekend of action ever!

The activists were broken down into groups of four and each group sent off to different areas. This was all part of a carefully planned and mapped-out programme developed by mayoral candidate Richard Barnbrook and BNP Elections Officer Eddy Butler. This maximised the ground covered by the

activists and ensured that each man-hour leafleting was spent in the most productive way possible.

The leaflet distributed was *The Londoner* which was designed by Mark Collett and can be seen to the right. This A3 full colour glossy brochure went down as well with the public as it did with the party activists, many of whom stated it was the best leaflet the party has ever produced.

The feedback over the weekend was fantastic, with many members of the public coming out of their homes to express their support for the party. This was boosted as party leader Nick Griffin joined the leafleting teams to distribute *The Londoner* and spend some time chatting with real Londoners.

line

- Ba
- Ce
- Cli
- Di
- Ea

14 Hammersmith & City †

Jubilee

Metropolitan

Under construction

National Rail

Single and return tickets issued for Underground/DLR journeys are not valid between Gunnersbury-Stratford or Custom House-North Woolwich


★ Closed Sunday

▲ Served by Piccadilly early morning

Wimbledon

2008 Gets Off Big Start!

of Action Ever!



Many of those who attended the rally assembled for a photo shoot. In the background can be seen one of the huge 'Barnbrook for Mayor' A-boards that will be touring London in the run up to May 1st

and ensured that each man-hour was spent in the most productive way possible. The leaflet distributed was *The Londoner* designed by Mark Collett and on to the right. This A3 full colour picture went down as well with the party activists, whom stated it was the best leaflet they had ever produced. Feedback over the weekend was with many members of the public out of their homes to express their support for the party. This was boosted as Nick Griffin joined the team to distribute *The Londoner* some time chatting with real



After the hard day's work the activists retired to a large meeting room in BNP heartland Dagenham where a short organisers' conference and rally was followed by a buffet and social. Around 120 people squeezed into the room to hear a range of national speakers and to learn how the party would be moving forward in 2008.

Eddy Butler and Richard Barnbrook got things under way talking about the importance of the campaign in London and highlighting the very real chance of the party winning its first ever seat in a devolved assembly.

Mark Collett took the stage and introduced the new striking local election leaflet for 2008, which allows for even greater customisation for local areas and builds effectively on ideas put forward after last year's council elections.

Deputy leader Simon Darby gave an impassioned speech about his personal feelings toward London, how the city had changed over the years and how London needs the BNP to effect a change for the better. Training officer Arthur Kemp then took the stage and fascinated the crowd with a speech which drew parallels between the great deeds of our ancestors and what the BNP activists of today were trying to achieve that very weekend.

Finally the evening was rounded off with a rousing speech from Nick Griffin where he explained exactly how winning a seat at the GLA would transform the party and how it was genuinely achievable with the level of activism seen over the weekend.

Sunday proved to be just as hectic with fresh faces arriving from all over the country to reinforce the push into Greater London. As people drove away on Sunday afternoon a great sense of achievement could be felt - 100,000 leaflets had been distributed in what had been the party's biggest ever weekend of action and the party is a step closer to a seat in the GLA.

We will be holding a second weekend of action on the 16 and 17th of February, make sure you don't miss out on making history for British nationalism!

★ Closed Sunday

▲ Served by Piccadilly early morning

- 3 Station in Zone 3
- 2 Station in Zone 2
- 1 Station in both zones
- 1 Station in Zone 1